

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,869	04/11/2005	Simon Michael Cutting	1307-26	4882	
616 7: THE MAXHAM	590 03/23/2007 1 FIRM	·	EXAMINER		
9330 SCRANTON ROAD, SUITE 350			PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645		
		··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DA	YS	03/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		10/506	,869	CUTTING, SIMO	CUTTING, SIMON MICHAEL		
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit			
	<u> </u>	Ginny F		1645			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ation. ry period will apply and by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 11 Anril 2005			•		
·	• •						
3)□	,—-						
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		ander Ex parte	<i>Quayle</i> , 1955 C.	D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>18-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	*		,			
6)⊡							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 18-36 are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.		,		
Applicati	on Papers			·			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•	•			
			-		CFR 1.121(d).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreian priority i	ınder 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	orongin prioring		3 (4) (4) (5)			
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				al Stage		
	application from the International	•			a. Glage		
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action fo		` ''	t received.			
			,				
Attachmen	i(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)	•		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other: _				

Application/Control Number: 10/506,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 18-36 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 18-31, drawn to a plurality of spores that express an heterologous antigen or antigens.

Group II, claim(s) 32-33 and 36, drawn to a plurality of Methods of manufacturing a medicament.

Group III, claim(s) 34-35, drawn to a plurality of methods of medical treatment.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The first appearing invention is anticipated by WO 02/00232 (reference of record in International Search Report) which describes the claimed special technical feature, thus not defining a unifying special technical feature that makes a contribution over the prior art.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 4. The species are as follows and are deemed to correspond to the species in the claims in the following manner:

Species 1: compositions with one spore expressing an antigen (independent claim 18)

Select one claimed antigen (claim 23) and one claimed spore coat protein (from claim 24):

Art Unit: 1645

a) Tetanus toxin fragment C (claim 23) b) labile toxin B (claim 23)

Species 2: compositions of two or more spores: select that desired antigens and spore coat proteins that are associated with them (claim 27-28)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 18 is generic.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species differs by structure, function and biological effect and there is not a unifying special technical feature linking each of the species.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginny Portner whose telephone number is (571) 272-0862. The examiner can normally be reached on flextime, but usually M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,869 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vgp March 16, 2007

MÁRK NAVÁRRÓ PRIMARY EXAMINER